

1 STEVEN KALAR  
2 Federal Public Defender  
2 JOYCE LEAVITT  
3 Assistant Federal Public Defender  
3 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
4 Oakland, CA 94607-3627  
4 (510) 637-3500

5 Counsel for Defendant ROBERT DOYLE

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
10 ) No. CR 14 - 0092 JST  
11 Plaintiff, )  
12 ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
13 v. ) ORDER CONTINUING STATUS  
14 ) DATE; EXCLUSION OF TIME  
15 )  
13 ROBERT THOMAS DOYLE, )  
14 )  
15 Defendant. )  
16

---

16 **STIPULATION**

17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, that the status date  
18 for defendant Robert Doyle, currently scheduled for June 6, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., may be continued to  
19 July 11, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. for status. Defense counsel is still investigating and needs additional time  
20 for effective preparation for the next court date.

21 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED THAT the time to July 11, 2014, should be excluded in  
22 accordance with the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective  
23 preparation taking into consideration due diligence to enable counsel to complete investigations in  
24 preparation for the next court appearance.

1 DATED: 6/4/14

2 \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
3 JOYCE LEAVITT  
Assistant Federal Public Defender

4 DATED: 6/4/14

5 \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
6 THOMAS MOORE  
7 Assistant United States Attorney

8 I hereby attest that I have permission of the parties to enter a conformed signature (/s/) for all  
9 signatures within this e-filed document.

10 **ORDER**

11 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the court date for Robert  
12 Doyle, scheduled for June 6, 2014, is continued to July 11, at 9:30 a.m. for status.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the time from June 6, 2014, to July 11, 2014, should be  
14 excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(A) and  
15 (h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective preparation of counsel taking into consideration due diligence to enable  
16 counsel to complete investigations in preparation for the next court appearance. The Court finds  
17 there is good cause and the ends of justice served by the granting of the continuance outweigh the  
18 interests of the public and defendant in a speedy and public trial. Failure to grant the requested  
19 continuance would unreasonably deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,  
20 taking into account due diligence.

21 SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: June 5, 2014

